

NOTES

April 06, 2012

Attendees	
Members: ☑ Bill Kaage – NWCG ☑ Douglas MacDonald – I-Chiefs ☑ Glenn Gaines – DHS/USFA ☑ Jim Erickson – ITC	Support Staff: ☑ Roy Johnson – DFO – OWF ☑ Shari Shetler – Exec. Sec. – OWF Others: ☑ Caitlyn Pollihan
☑ Jim Karels – NASF ☑ Kirk Rowdabaugh – DOI ☑ Mary Jacobs – NLC ☑ Ryan Yates – NACo ☑ Tom Harbour – USFS	 ☑ Joe Stutler ☑ Jenna Sloan ☑ Patti Blankenship ☑ Tom Quigley ☑ Brad Simpkins
	 ☑ Blad Simplifies ☑ Mike Zupco ☑ Sandy Cantler ☑ Ann Walker ☑ Danny Lee ☑ Alan Quan

#	Торіс
1	Welcome/Introductions
2	Meeting Objectives & Expectations Description: Outline the objectives and expectations of this meeting Outcome:
	 Understanding what we need to accomplish <u>Reference Material:</u> Final Agenda
3	Review Final WFLC Agenda Description: Review final WFLC agenda and identify any guiding principles related to the meeting. Outcome: 1. Understanding of the final WFLC agenda and how the meeting is going to be conducted Reference Material: 1. TBD Key Points: 1. The purpose of this meeting is to be prepared for the WFLC meeting 2. It is WFEC making the recommendation to WFLC 3. Need to clearly understand what we are asking to WFLC do – clear proposal
4	Phase II Report Description: Identify comments and recommendations for change to the WFEC approved Phase II Report as it went through the review process Outcome: 1. 1. Understanding of comments received on the Phase II Report. Reference Material: 1. 1. Presentation Material



#	Торіс
	Key Points:
	Have not heard back from OMB on the final changes
	Bill Kaage – page 21 – Proposed different wording: multiple objectives instead of resource
	objectives
	Kirk characterized this as the best case review
	• Had an hour and a half conversation with OMB to explain some of the intent of the content
	 A bit concerned about some of the balancing content and how to interpret some of the language
	language Decisions:
	 WFEC is comfortable with the document in its current form with the one change identified
	above
	2. Recommend to WFLC that they move this to the secretaries – asking to approve for
	submission to the secretaries – get signatures of the secretaries
	3. One clean Phase II report will be sent to WFLC
	Actions:
	 Amend agenda to reflect what we are asking WFLC to do. Need to reflect that there is a decision to make – accepting our recommendation and take action
	Phase II Roll-Out Proposal
	Description:
	Present the Phase II Roll-Out Proposal – including process, press releases, partner letters, timing
	and Hill visits
	Outcome:
	1. Understanding of Phase II Roll-Out Proposal including resources, assignments, timeline, etc.
	2. WFEC Recommendation for Phase II Roll-Out Proposal
	Reference Material: 1. Presentation Material
5	2. Phase II Roll-Out Proposal
	Key Points:
	When we engage with the hill we should have a final document that is signed by the
	secretaries
	 Letters of support should be sent by members in support of Phase II to WFLC
	Documents: Transmittal letter, Phase II document and the roll-out
	Actions: 1. Jenna will distribute the secretarial transmittal to WFEC prior to the WFLC meeting
	 Sandy will make the changes as identified by WFEC members
	3. Proposal: Jenna content, Shari Format
•	Public Comments
6	No comments
	CSSC – Phase III Comprehensive Program of Work
1	Description:
	Review the presentation on the Phase III comprehensive Program of Work. Specifically identify
	the Phase III Expectations
	Outcome: 1. Agreement on content of presentation
7	2. WFEC Recommendation on Phase III expectations
1	Reference Material:
	1. Presentation Material
	2. Phase III Expectations for WFLC approval
	Key Points:
	 Looks like it is purely information – don't see where there is a decision necessary



#	Topic
π	The document does speak to the expectations
	 The agenda reflects that there is a decision
	 Need to leave it as a decision so that we have explicit agreement so that WFLC knows what
	is going to be done and the resources that need to be committed in order to accomplish the
	activities
	 WFLC is being asked to approve or amend the phase III expectations
	 Jim E sent editorial comments to Jenna to determine if there are changes that should be
	incorporated prior to sending the final document to WFLC
	Decision:
	1. Keep the document as it is for distribution to WFLC
	Communications
	Description:
	Review the communications presentation. Identify specific communication actions
	Outcome:
	1. Review, discussion and acceptance of the Communication presentation.
	2. Agreement on WFEC Recommendations for Communication Actions
	Reference Material: 1. Presentation Material
	 Presentation Material Communication Actions Proposal
	Key Points:
	Brief WFLC on where we are with communication
	 Fill in verbally – just an update
	 Erin has been assigned the lead for this
	 Judith identified messages
•	 Three communication groups – role of national groups as well as activities that are
8	being accomplished within the regional strategy committees
	 Identified national stakeholders that haven't been involved
	 Looking at how to increase dialogues at the national level
	 Have been working on the website
	There needs to be an ability to regroup quickly and be able to react without getting additional
	approvals
	Acknowledge the federal investment in implementing the communication strategy
	Want WFLC to agree with the communication program of work
	 Acknowledge there is work to be done and resourced if we are going to be successful There was some follow we from the last M/ELC meeting.
	 There was some follow-up from the last WFLC meeting One might be the integration of existing personnel
	 One might be the integration of existing personnel Bill – talked about the communication framework in November – there is no comment related
	to that communication framework – it is reflected in the regional powerpoint presentation
	 No specific decision or approval necessary for this agenda item – this item is more detail
	related
	Regional Risk Analyses
	Description:
	Provide a briefing on the presentation for the WFLC meeting. Describe the interactive and
	iterative process between the Regional Strategy Committees and the National Science Team.
9	Outcome:
5	1. Review, discussion and acceptance of the Regional Risk Analyses presentation
	2. Agreement on WFEC Recommendations for the process to complete the Phase III Risk-
	based Analysis
	Reference Material:
	1. Presentation Material



#	Topic
	2. WFLC Proposal on the process to complete the Phase III Risk-based Analysis
	Key Points:
	 Intent to use similar briefing material that have been used with the regional strategy committees
	 Identifies how we are proceeding
	 How we are rolling the regional assessment into the national picture
	 This is adding detail to what has already approved in the
	Actions:
	1. Amend the agenda to reflect – Information and discussion only – no decision or approval –
	moving forward
	Regional Programs of Work
	Description:
	Review the presentations on the regional programs of work.
	Outcome:
10	1. Review, discussion and acceptance of the RSC Regional Programs of Work presentation.
10	2. Agreement on WFEC Recommendations Reference Material:
	1. Presentation Material
	2. Regional Programs of Work Proposal
	Key Points:
	• Will emphasize that we will have a single cohesive strategy in the end
	Phase III Contingency Plan
	Description:
	Review the Phase III Contingency Plan and develop a WFEC recommendation for key messages
	and options for completing the CS on time.
	Outcome:
	1. Review, discussion and acceptance of the Phase III Contingency Plan presentation.
	2. Agreement on WFEC Recommendations for the Phase III Contingency Plan. Reference Material:
	1. Presentation Material
	2. Phase III Contingency Plan Proposal
	Key Points:
	Better to have too much than not enough
	 If certain events occur, how might we might escalate needed responses
	 What if we are asked to respond to something before we are ready to do that
11	Think this is addressed within the contingency plan and can be pulled out of the information
	Content is fine
	 If WFLC wants something else, they will identify what that is
	 Joe – contingency for marching forward with the CS strategy – this is great
	Joe – important beyond that, WFEC huddle up to have clear consistent messages
	 We could provide advice to the secretaries – not so flexible because of the FACA
	requirementsSubcommittees could be much more nimble to quickly respond
	 WFEC always need to go through WFLC to get recommendations to the Secretaries
	 WFEC needs to think about a subcommittee to be able to do that
	 What groups exist that can take on this responsibility
	 Need to have a conversation about operational responsibilities
	 Use this item to have a conversation with WFLC about roles and responsibilities
	Actions:



#	Торіс
	1. Review 2) under keys to continued success – grammar needs to be corrected
	2. page 5 – replace severe with active – also in 4) replace trade-off with the wording that was
	used in the comprehensive program of work
	3. Modify the WFLC agenda to reflect that this is just information and discussion
	Wildland Fire Governance
	Description:
	Review the presentation on the WFEC Charter and relation to other governance Groups
	Outcome:
	1. Review, discussion and acceptance of the Governance presentation.
12	Reference Material:
	1. Presentation Material
	Key Points:
	 Put forth the concept of re-evaluating the entire fire governance structure
	 We talked about modifications to the WFEC charter at our administrative meeting
	This is informational
	Identify Follow-up Agenda Items for the April 20 WFEC Meeting and
	Closeout
	• Bill will identify items coming forward from NWCG – work with Roy to put together a calendar
13	to address those items
	Follow-up from the WFLC meeting
	Roll-out
	Administrative session on Wednesday afternoon – focused on Strategic Management Plan