

Program Board Notes - May 21, 2014

attendees: Eric John Paul Richard Chris Bill

- 1) review previous notes – okay
- 2) SOP – Chris
 - i) finished 10 pager and added signature blocks
 - ii) will work on a slide which is the next topic
 - iii) we will begin work on the bigger document based on this framework
- 3) executive board slides
 - a) remove slides 13 and 14 save for July meeting to discuss IT evaluation
 - b) AA slide 5 is too busy just need 3 phases with bullets
 - c) slide 8 provides the best explanation of what we need in the executive board
 - i) approve overall strategy and timeline and budget
 - ii) what do we hope to accomplish in phase 1
 - iii) put 3 slides into one
 - iv) need to get documents ready for AA including decision document; we need commitment, dollars, people
 - v) Richard will take slides 5678 and condense it into one slide
 - d) ensure any changes are shared with Sylvia Burns Larry Rustin and Tim Quinn
 - e) slide 9 is just 3 documents to sign
 - f) slide 10 with it SOP signed and approved process from Chris
 - g) slide 11 and 12 are fine
 - h) slide 13 and 14 are gone
 - i) slide 15 is implementation staffing it is still up in the air until John and Jim look at it
 - i) take out references to MAB put it back to FMB
 - ii) 4 options presented in 3 phases
 - iii) Kim originally tasked Jim and John to build a plan; FMB owed Jim the document; will see if they make it their own
 - iv) we don't want to forward slides with the staffing slide included therefore was is put the title slide in and refer to Jim and John
- 4) Strategy Discussion
 - a) 5 different areas
 - business architecture
 - data architecture
 - application architecture
 - security
 - technology architecture
 - b) SEI teased out recommendations in a strategic plan with an outline and timelines
 - business alignment includes flameout and cohesive strategy
 - IT supports capability within DOI and for service
 - aligned fire program to objectives; geared towards IT lines of business

- accumulation of information for strategies to get stuff done; the question is who is responsible for getting stuff done
 - FMB - this was developed with business at the center and objectives were tied to it
 - actual transition plan
 - (1) phase 1 was 2 years; business focus with objectives and metrics
 - (2) phase 2 is the architecture; data specific to support the business highs gather consume access utilized
 - (3) how do we change to meet objectives?
 - (4) Phase 3 acquisitions including SLA, roles and responsibilities in an EA office
 - systems administration transition to from current to new collaboration
 - integration services for example Ross doesn't create original data but uses application data and integrated web services to order resources
 - what is the resource process the data and the workflow; for example Irwin, started in 2006, by 2012 execution of budget to start; then a 5 to 10 year process to get completed
 - technical challenges and political issues
- c) discussion:
- Richard- something to bring to the executive board; reengineering of our processes need to bring everything together with a true vision
 - strategic plan individual work plans are detailed such as staffing every element needs dedicated task group
 - the vision strategy and objectives which ties our work together
 - Bill what's the name of it?
 - WFIT strategic plan – outlines a multi-phased approach; “as is” to the” to be”
 - the tactical is more like the Task group
- d) action items
- read strategy paper
 - bring in a references such as 25 points and overarching strategies
 - post executive board meeting-start working on objectives; how do we help the business community? What is IT staff? And identify what can be done

Additional Items

Bill – FMB

at the last FMB IT business group a.k.a. Mab, people were confused about what each meeting and/or group was the other issue was with the term and MAB

therefore the regular meeting will be called ITAB or IT advisory board; FMB remains the parent body; agreement within the group for the name (no going back to MAB)

ITAB going through portfolio now focusing on business areas and vegetation/skills management

desired outcomes determined by ITAB

then TB teases out how to meet those outcomes looking at the data applications

how do we answer the question about the effectiveness of fuel treatments

Chris: business leads may not know all that their applications do so doing a review is important so that everyone has the same baseline; we win business trust

example we need to review all contracts what they do and who they are working with; now each application is unique self with its own contract; there is no conduit to do business; it is possible to do just need to get the right people together

DOI have a leader in acquisition for fire; the challenge is to align across to for service AQM: agreed-upon processes

we need to take the document and add references; identify how were working on a vision and strategy; it all ties back to the staffing plan

open discussion

Gephardt: Dan Slack and the fire reporting system

proposal for hosting so C1 and another one through Park service another C1

the fire reporting committee likes it; it is as interior centric solution; but fire reporting is an interagency need; do we bring these proposals forward?

iRWIN OAT is in production; tested Alaska CAD fire code a few issues but were fixed; more apps again tonight at 10 PM

difficult for third-party vendors to understand fire management needs; and the need to get things done quickly and a responsive helpdesk

look at hybrid – \$200,000 for helpdesk and \$21,000 for hosting

consider government resources for helpdesk; brainstorming sessions now; when ready share out with everyone

Richard FS has helpdesk needs; Chris will bring FS into the discussion; looking at possible solutions for the fire community emergency response standpoint

looking at IFTDSS where do they connect to the process?

wildcad board of directors – where do they fit in?

where do business groups that in? – Under NWCG

example of wildcad - question of whether there is a problem or not; growing in what they want dispatchers to do versus allegiance to their product line

C OTS versus owning products - \$500,000 for wild CAD covers about 70% of the need

Where is the business? – NWCG or FMB or a group that has coordination centers

Paul Shlobom – more focused examining how to bring in business groups

PMU provided support for NWCG. What is the proposal for groups – who do they belong to and how does NWCG support those groups?

Paul has a new role with NWCG and FMB as well as ITAB

Richard: RIMs are asking for presentation next Thursday including Doug Nash

- FLIGHT - a pilot strictly C1 addresses multiple requests; Richard recommends technical review in July; may be reviewed by ETG; interested in cloud hosting
- Ness is looking at cloud solution as well
- ICL - region 5 app for retardant usage; we don't need to act but be aware
- FMB – ABS & AMIS presentations – question of consolidation
- aviation folks are open to the WFIT process
- there is a list of mobile apps on the NWC G website under Tech tools; also brings up issues with 508 compliance for websites and/or applications

Meeting adjourned